Claims 1-32 are pending in the instant application. In a Final Office Action dated

October 17, 2008, the Examiner has rejected all of the pending claims as described below.

In addition to the remarks below, Applicant has filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in conjunction with this paper.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the October 17, 2008 Final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-32 as being assertedly anticipated by Osman, United States Patent Publication No. 2004/0024894. Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection was improper for at least the reasons below, and Applicant therefore requests that the rejection be withdrawn and claims 1-32 be allowed.

Applicant first notes that the cited Osman reference is related to the instant application and shares a common assignee and common inventors. In particular, the two inventors of Osman (Osman and Knee) are also co-inventors on the instant application, which includes one additional inventor (Petry). Moreover, the priority date of Osman is August 2, 2002, which predates the priority date of the instant application (October 17, 2002) by less than 3 months.

Accordingly, in a previous reply to a Non-Final Office Action filed on July 7, 2008, Applicant provided Rule 131 declarations for each Applicant, along with supporting documentation in the form of multiple exhibits, evidencing Applicants' prior invention of the claims of the instant application, along with diligence, prior to the filing date of Osman.

ASTU-001/01US Serial No.: 10/660,055 Filed: September 10, 2003

Page 12

Included in the supporting documentation in the previously filed response were a number

of exhibits electronically filed by Applicant. However, due to an error at the USPTO, a number

of these exhibits were received by the USPTO's electronic filing system but were not entered

into PAIR at the time of filing. Consequently, through no fault of the Applicant, the Examiner

did not receive or evaluate any of these exhibits before making the final rejection in this

application.

More specifically, Exhibits D, E, F and G, which were electronically filed on July 7,

2008, were not entered into the PAIR system until approximately April 9, 2009, well after entry

of the Final Office Action, despite the fact that they should have been considered by the

Examiner before making the final rejection.

Applicant first became aware of this issue in February, 2009, and has diligently tried to

resolve this issue and have the Examiner withdraw the final office action and consider the

additional exhibits. Specifically, Applicant's attorney has contacted the Examiner, as well as the

Examiner's Supervisor, Ario Etienne, multiple times to try to resolve this issue before the six

month response deadline. However, the Examiner has failed to withdraw the final rejection as of

the time of filing of this paper. Accordingly, Applicant has filed an RCE in this application to

avoid abandonment.

ASTU-001/01US Serial No.: 10/660,055 Filed: September 10, 2003

Page 13

Applicant respectfully requests that, in view of the full set of exhibits (Exhibits A-H)

filed in response to the previous Non-Final Office Action in this application, the Examiner

withdraw the rejections of claim 1-32 and put the application in condition for allowance.

In addition, Applicant respectfully requests that, in view of the USPTO's mistake in

failing to enter the exhibits electronically filed by Applicant into the PAIR system and failing to

withdraw the Final Office Action despite having knowledge of this mistake, that the USPTO

refund the fees associated with the filing of the RCE in this application.

Concluding Comments

Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the remarks herein prior to further

examination of the above-identified application. The undersigned would of course be available

to discuss the present application with the Examiner if, in the opinion of the Examiner, such a

discussion could lead to resolution of any outstanding issues.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R.

§§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to

Deposit Account No. 50-1283.

ASTU-001/01US Serial No.: 10/660,055 Filed: September 10, 2003 Page 14

Dated: April 17 2009

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: Patent Group 777 6th Street N.W.

Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20001-3703 Tel: (858) 550-6200

Fax: (202) 842-7899

628312 v1/SD

Respectfully submitted,

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP

Steven C. Tietsworth Reg. No. 59855

By: